

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/520,855	01/11/2005	Dong-Yeoul Lee	2282-00200	2282-00200 2668	
23505	7590 07/11/2006		EXAMINER		
CONLEY ROSE, P.C. P. O. BOX 3267			NGUYEN, CUONG QUANG		
	ON, TX 77253-3267		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2811	-	

DATE MAILED: 07/11/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			_ 1//
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	V
Office Action Summers	10/520,855	LEE ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Cuong Q. Nguyen	2811	_
 The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply 	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action for allowed closed in accordance with the practice under the practice under the practice.	s action is non-final. ance except for formal matters, pro		
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 1-17 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) 1-17 are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examination The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accompanied and applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction. 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examination is objected.	election requirement. er. cepted or b) objected to by the edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. Section is required if the drawing(s) is objected to by the edition is the edit	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d	I).
,	xammer. Note the attached Office	Action of form PTO-132.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documen 2. Certified copies of the priority documen 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. Its have been received in Applicationity documents have been received in Application (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage	
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:		

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1-3 and 5-17, drawn to a semiconductor device, classified in class 257, subclass 100.
- II. Claim 4, drawn to a method of making a semiconductor device, classified in class438, subclass 100+.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and II are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case unpatentability of the group I invention would not necessarily imply unpatentability of the group II invention, since the device of the group I invention could be made by processes materially different from those of group II invention, for example, forming the device as claimed in method claim 4 with a chemical formula different than that required in the device claim 1.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Application/Control Number: 10/520,855 Page 3

Art Unit: 2811

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a petition under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Cuong Q Nguyen whose telephone number is (571) 272-1661. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Thur.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner, Steven Loke can be reached on (571) 272-1657. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300.

Cuong Nguyen Primary examiner

July 5, 2006